

Message

---

**From:** Tremmel, Fred J [/O=BP/OU=USACHI/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=TREMMEFJ]  
**Sent:** 7/31/2010 9:50:54 PM  
**To:** Lambden, Roy L [/O=BP/OU=MALKLR/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=LAMBDERL1]; Fink, John M  
[/O=BP/OU=USAHOUCN=RECIPIENTS/CN=FINKJM]  
**CC:** Murray, Kate A [/O=BP/OU=USAHOUCN=RECIPIENTS/CN=MURRAYKA]; Dutton, David R (DRD Toxicology Services)  
[/O=BP/OU=USACHI/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DUTTONDR]; Pickett, James  
[/O=BP/OU=USACHI/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=PICKETJ]; Johnson, Donald A  
[/O=BP/OU=CHNSHA/CN=USERS/CN=JOHNSODA]  
**Subject:** RE: Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug  
  
**Flag:** Follow up

Thanks, Roy. We also need to take into account that there will be some re-deployment of monitoring to evaluate and control exposures during oiled boom and vessel decontamination. That activity will continue for some time. The overall need for monitoring will inevitably decline, hopefully in relative proportion as we "transition" and "right-size" the response.

---

**From:** Lambden, Roy L  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2010 4:46 PM  
**To:** Fink, John M  
**Cc:** Tremmel, Fred J; Murray, Kate A; Dutton, David R (DRD Toxicology Services); Pickett, James; Johnson, Donald A  
**Subject:** RE: Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug

More than 50%....I'll get you firm numbers for personnel for 1, 15, & 31 July.

*Deputy Incident Commander - Operations Capability  
Mobile ICP  
Deepwater Horizon Response*

**Roy L. Lambden**  
Senior Advisor - Tactical Response Capability  
BP America Inc, 501 Westlake Park Blvd, Houston, Texas 77079 USA  
Office: +1.281.504.9148 Fax: +1.281.504.4395 Mobile: +1.281.460.4533  
email - roy.lambden@bp.com

---

**From:** Fink, John M  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2010 4:42 PM  
**To:** Lambden, Roy L  
**Cc:** Tremmel, Fred J; Murray, Kate A; Dutton, David R (DRD Toxicology Services); Pickett, James; Johnson, Donald A  
**Subject:** RE: Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug

Roy

Results of conversation today is that there is no clear and obvious place to cut back IH services at this point. Although we are documenting zero exposures in most monitoring efforts, the monitoring itself adds value in the eyes of public perception, and zeros add value in defending potential future litigation. Regarding the latter, Fred Tremmel has forwarded this string on to Legal for their input.

Obviously, at some point, we need to scale back. I think if Operations gets to a point they've reduced the workforce by say half, we could reasonably justify reducing IH efforts by a similar amount. Do we know roughly how much we have reduced Mobile IC field support (in terms of percentage) since our response organization was at its largest?

Sorry I have nothing more solid at this point.

Thanks

---

**From:** Fink, John M  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2010 3:31 PM  
**To:** Lambden, Roy L  
**Subject:** RE: Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug

10-4 Roy. We'll discuss at the 330 call shortly.

---

**From:** Lambden, Roy L  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2010 2:39 PM  
**To:** Fink, John M; Tremmel, Fred J; Murray, Kate A  
**Subject:** RE: Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug

John,

The reduction or suspension of community monitoring is already being address by the Environmental Sampling folks within the Planning Section. I understood that IH was conducting separate air monitoring within work locations. This was the sampling that I was attempting to get reduced or suspended.....or at least addressed.

Thanks

*Deputy Incident Commander - Operations Capability  
Mobile ICP  
Deepwater Horizon Response*

**Roy L. Lambden**

Senior Advisor - Tactical Response Capability  
BP America Inc, 501 Westlake Park Blvd, Houston, Texas 77079 USA  
Office: +1.281.504.0148 ----- Fax: +1.281.504.4395 ----- Mobile: +1.281.460.4533  
email - roy.lambden@bp.com

---

**From:** Fink, John M  
**Sent:** Saturday, July 31, 2010 2:32 PM  
**To:** Tremmel, Fred J; Murray, Kate A  
**Cc:** Lambden, Roy L  
**Subject:** Pulling The IH Monitoring Plug

Fred/Kate

I received a request from Mobile's Deputy Incident Command Chief (Roy Lambden) to start thinking about scaling back IH operations where appropriate. As I explained to Roy, while pockets of IH monitoring remain for the foreseeable future (e.g. decon activities), the decision to cease monitoring "where appropriate" may be more a political/public relations decision than it is IH's.

We faced the same dilemma post the Texas City explosion (though the situation is a little different here in that we don't know if/when/where significant oil may wash ashore.) As a first step, my suggested path forward is to discontinue community monitoring (example below) by first presenting a justification summary slide to the EPA and OSHA and then asking them to either endorse or provide input on BP's intent to discontinue that monitoring officially on August x, 2010.

<< File: Daily Summary MC 252 Oil Spill 2010\_07\_29.pdf >>  
Feedback welcome but intend to bring up at the 3:30PM telecon.

Thanks

John M. Fink <>  
CIH, CSP  
EPT HSSE Advisor  
(281)366-6821

**DEMONSTRATE HSSE OWNERSHIP**

*The information contained in this communication is COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL and may not be shared outside of the BP without specific permission from the appropriate member of the BP Leadership Team. Any viewing, copying or distribution of, or reliance on this message by unintended recipients is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.*